



Housing Assistance  
Council

A BRIEF AND SELECTIVE  
HISTORICAL OUTLINE  
OF RURAL MUTUAL  
SELF-HELP HOUSING  
IN THE  
UNITED STATES

\$4.50

Eighth edition May 2004

First published 1996

Housing Assistance Council  
1025 Vermont Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 606  
Washington, DC 20005  
202-842-8600 (voice)  
202-347-3441 (fax)  
hac@ruralhome.org (e-mail)  
<http://www.ruralhome.org>

ISBN 1-58064-014-1

This paper was prepared by Art Collings and edited by Leslie R. Strauss and Anne B. Gleason of the Housing Assistance Council (HAC), with support from the Ford Foundation. HAC, founded in 1971, is a nonprofit corporation that supports the development of rural low- and moderate-income housing nationwide. HAC provides technical housing services, seed money loans, housing program and policy assistance, research and demonstration projects, and training and information services. HAC is an equal opportunity lender.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Author’s Note ..... iv

Introduction ..... 1

A Historical Outline of Rural Mutual Self-Help Housing ..... 2

Table 1. Initial Section 502 Self-Help Loans ..... 7

Table 2. Initial Section 502 Self-Help Loans by State, by Fiscal Year ..... 9

Table 3. Initial Section 502 Self-Help Loans by State, Inception through FY 2003 ..... 48

Table 4. Section 523 Self-Help Technical Assistance Grants and Contracts ..... 50

Table 5. Section 523 Self-Help Technical Assistance Grants and Contracts by State,  
by Fiscal Year ..... 52

Table 6. Section 523 Self-Help Technical Assistance Grants by State, FY 1971-2003 ..... 87

## AUTHOR'S NOTE

Some of the Farmers Home Administration archives relevant for this study have been stored, even unaccessible, so a few dates may be slightly off. I have checked with persons associated with the early program in an effort to be as accurate as possible. I am particularly indebted to Bill French of the Rural Community Assistance Corporation, who provided additions and corrections to an earlier draft, and to Peter Carey of Self-Help Enterprises, who combed old issues of the *RHA Reporter* to ferret out additional information, particularly for pre-1966 loans. Fortunately, the records containing numbers for the Section 523 grant program were all readily available for inclusion in this paper.

I owe a special thank you to Anne Gleason, of the HAC Research and Information division, who reworked the historic numbers and made the loan totals accurate through FY 2003. We anticipate a new HAC self-help historian for 2004 and it is nice to leave numbers that are 100 percent accurate.

History is important. We need to know the past in order to both understand the present and prepare for the future. This outline is sketchy and hardly a comprehensive history of the program. Instead it briefly identifies significant happenings that contributed to mutual self-help housing as we know it today. Some people are identified by name. Others who contributed are not. There was no conscious effort to overlook anyone. And it is impossible to identify all in an outline of this kind.

Some of the Section 502 loan numbers gleaned from the FmHA 506 report may be slightly off. For Fiscal Years 1994 through 1997 I asked the applicable state offices to confirm the numbers attributed to their states.

Collection of obligation numbers is a beginning. HAC is committed to adding those for each succeeding fiscal year to this history.

## INTRODUCTION

What follows are an outline of the evolution of organized self-help housing, specifically mutual self-help housing utilizing financing from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Farmers Home Administration (FmHA), now the Rural Housing Service (RHS), and a record of USDA assistance.

Self-help housing means homes built wholly or in part by their purchasers. In the mutual self-help model, families organize, or are organized, to work together and collectively build each others' homes. Self-help, sometimes called sweat equity, can make purchasing a home affordable for a family with a very low income, because the purchaser's labor reduces the cost of the house.

Organized mutual self-help housing with a permanent source of mortgage financing for participants (the FmHA Section 502 program) is a product of Quaker origin, rooted in the Nova Scotia experience of St. Francis Xavier University. Those of us who followed have done just that -- followed. The principles developed in the first FmHA-financed self-help project in Goshen, California remain the basis for the RHS self-help program as we know it today. In this Quaker model, mutual self-help housing is accomplished through training and supervision provided by a sponsoring organization. The Rural Housing Service's program of today is more structured and includes the following elements:

- ⊞ participating families;
- ⊞ administrative grants for sponsors;
- ⊞ four regional contractors that provide technical assistance and oversight to grantees; and
- ⊞ financing and monitoring by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Development/Rural Housing Service staff.

Participating families usually receive subsidized long-term (called "permanent") mortgage loans through the RHS Section 502 program. (This program also funds mortgages for low- and moderate-income families not using the self-help model.) Table 1 below provides a year-by-year summary of initial Section 502 loans made to self-help participants. Table 2 shows loans for each state in each fiscal year. Table 3 sets forth cumulative figures for Section 502 loans by state.

RHS also provides grants to cover sponsoring organizations' administrative costs through the Section 523 program. Table 4 summarizes Section 523 grants and contracts, by year. Table 5 shows grants and contracts for each state in each fiscal year. Table 6 presents cumulative figures for Section 523 grants and contracts by state.

## **A HISTORICAL OUTLINE OF RURAL MUTUAL SELF-HELP HOUSING**

Human beings have long practiced collective and community home and barn raisings. Modern organized self-help housing in North America began in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania in 1933, where the Pennsylvania Relief Board operated a program for unemployed coal miners. By 1940, 250 units had been completed.

In 1937 the American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) sponsored a community called Penn-Craft, which housed a community of unemployed coal miners in western Pennsylvania.

In 1938, St. Francis Xavier University in Nova Scotia sponsored a self-help housing cooperative for coal miners. This is the first self-help project known to have used preconstruction training techniques. By 1967, there were 2,000 self-help units in Nova Scotia.

Between 1949 and 1969 the Puerto Rico government sponsored self-help housing, building primarily core houses (small, basic units that can be expanded later) and producing 30,000 homes.

In 1950 Flanner House, a mutual self-help project for moderate-income black families without down payment or access to mortgage credit, began in Indianapolis. It produced 360 homes by 1965 and, because of its success, ultimately led lenders to make mortgage credit available for minorities in that city.

Also in the 1950s, AFSC and the Friends Neighborhood Guild sponsored a self-help rehabilitation project in Philadelphia. Bob Marshall, later the long-time executive director of Self-Help Enterprises, was involved in that effort.

Most of the early self-help projects in the United States were demonstrations and were not able to keep producing units indefinitely, particularly because they lacked ongoing sources of financing. AFSC began looking for a permanent credit source to accompany self-help housing.

In the early 1960s AFSC's Bard McAllister began working with farmworker families in Goshen, California as part of a farmworker organizing project. In 1961, FmHA Section 502 loan eligibility was extended to nonfarm rural citizens. For those in rural areas, this provided the long-sought permanent source of mortgage financing. In Goshen, under the leadership of Bard McAllister and a successful home builder, Howard Washburn, AFSC began the first Section 502 financed self-help project with farmworkers. FmHA was skeptical and required a completion bond of AFSC, but the project was successful and the die cast.

While homes were under construction in Goshen, Chester Tyson, FmHA State Director in New Jersey, a Quaker and member of AFSC committees, asked me to try it in Cumberland County, New Jersey. He assigned Ralph Johnson, now a member of the board of directors of NCALL Research, Inc. in Dover, Delaware, to help with planning and with a justification request to the

FmHA National Office. In 1963 FmHA was the direct sponsor, in addition to providing mortgage finance, of a six-unit self-help project. Thus, the agency became committed to the process.

AFSC, Howard Washburn and a number of progressive Californians founded Self-Help Enterprises (SHE) in Visalia, California in 1965. Since then SHE has produced more than 5,000 self-help homes.

From 1963 to 1965 a sizeable number of self-help projects emerged that utilized Section 502 financing. By 1965, self-help projects were located in 16 states.<sup>1</sup>

The 1964 Housing Act changed Section 502 to permit land purchase with loan funds. It also increased the size of rural areas eligible for Title V housing assistance (that is, for assistance under the FmHA/RHS housing programs) -- previously open country and places with up to 2,500 population -- to include places with populations of up to 5,500. These changes spurred interest in self-help housing. California self-help groups played a major role in gaining the amendments.

In December, 1965 AFSC, led by Eleanor Eaton, with the assistance of the Ford Foundation, convened a conference of self-help sponsors in Warrenton, Virginia. Representatives from the United States, Mexico and Nova Scotia participated. Out of this meeting the International Self-Help Housing Association (ISHA) was formed in January, 1966.

A lead player in the formation of ISHA was its first chair, Elizabeth Herring, an early director and board member of NCALL.

Between 1965 and 1970 the primary source of administrative funding for self-help sponsors was the federal Office of Economic Opportunities Migrant Division.

AFSC secured Ford Foundation funding for ISHA and in 1967 Clay Cochran, a giant among rural housing leaders, became its first paid Executive Director. Cochran came to ISHA through the efforts of Ms. Herring.

A most important publicist for self-help housing was writer Richard Margolis. He chronicled its early efforts and helped create widespread interest. Margolis also served on the board of ISHA and its successor agencies.

In 1969, ISHA broadened its mission beyond self-help and became the Rural Housing Alliance (RHA). RHA became Rural America in 1976. By 1989, the organization's focus had shifted away from housing, and it was renamed the Community Transportation Association of America.

In 1969 the National Rural Housing Coalition (NRHC) was founded to be the lobbying arm of the rural housing movement. Throughout its existence, the Coalition has lobbied for both the self-help and Section 502

---

<sup>1</sup> FmHA appears not to have accounted separately for self-help loans prior to 1966; at least, I can find no record of it.

programs, and generally has represented the interests of self-help housing before Congress and federal administrations.

During the late 1960s and early 1970s the California self-help groups and RHA were responsible for incrementally raising the eligible Title V rural eligibility limits to include rural communities with populations of up to 10,000.

The Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 provided two important features for self-help housing:

- △ Section 523 Self-Help Technical Assistance Grants were authorized, important since administrative funding sources were being stretched or disappearing. The Act also enabled funding for sponsors not limited to assisting migrant farmworkers.
- △ Section 521 interest subsidy was authorized and implemented as interest credit in 1969. This reduced mortgage interest rates to as low as 1 percent and provided families with still lower incomes with access to the program.

Aided by RHA and NRHC, the Self-Help Directors Association was formed in 1970. It remains an active, and very important, part of the rural housing movement to this day.

The Section 523 Self-Help Technical Assistance Grant Program was implemented in FY 1971. The first grantees were the Rural California Housing Corporation (Sacramento, California), House My People (Pierce County, Washington) and Clackamas County Self-Help Corporation (Clackamas County, Oregon).

The Housing Assistance Council (HAC) was created by the Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) in 1971. It initially deferred to RHA on self-help matters, but extended predevelopment loans to sponsoring organizations and maintained staff experience in self-help housing. It remains a national technical assistance and predevelopment loan provider for housing for low-income persons in rural areas.

Between 1971 and 1973, RHA was instrumental in developing a “second wave” of self-help sponsoring organizations. Some of those groups remain active in self-help housing.

In 1973 the Nixon Administration placed a moratorium on housing subsidy with one exception, interest credit for Section 502 self-help loans. Attorneys for RHA and HAC (Lee Reno and Florence Roisman) successfully sued the Administration (*Pealo v. Farmers Home Administration*) and rural housing subsidy became available again in the same calendar year. It bears noting that urban litigants lost their cases and the rural nonprofit sector was responsible for reestablishing housing subsidy.

In 1979, while Gordon Cavanaugh was FmHA Administrator, the agency succeeded in obtaining amended appropriations language to permit the use of Section 523 grant funds to contract for technical assistance to self-help sponsors. Implementation resulted in stabilization of the program.

Initially there were six contractors. There are now four, serving geographic regions or areas. They are Florida Nonprofit Housing, Little Dixie Community Action Agency, NCALL Research, Inc., and the Rural Community Assistance Corporation.

The current self-help grant regulations were finalized in 1990. The program is more closely regulated than before and includes a requirement that family labor contribute 65 percent of total labor or tasks involved in construction of the homes.

The Section 523 program was permanently authorized in 1992. Prior to that time it was subject to both appropriation and authorization action annually by Congress.

In 1992 FmHA provided two of every three dollars for 502 self-help loans from a national reserve. This action stabilized the program by assuring coordination between the Section 523 grant and the Section 502 loan functions. It helped states, particularly those with small allocations, maintain an orderly program. FmHA increased the national share to 80 percent in 1994. For 1996, the agency (now Rural Housing Service or RHS) changed it to 75 percent.

The FY 1995 Clinton Administration budget asked for a subsidy formula change that would have increased the basis for borrower 502 payments from 20 to 30 percent of income for principal, interest, taxes and insurance (PITI). While NRHC and HAC successfully fought the subsidy change, Congress effected similar cost savings by cutting \$600 million from the program level.

Another cost saving measure by the Clinton Administration was the elimination of interest credit for new loans and substitution of a new subsidy mechanism called payment assistance. Payment assistance is based on the applicant/borrower income as a percent of area median and is accompanied by minimum PITI payment requirements. Substantial savings are realized -- but at the expense of low-income families. The writer views it as highly unfair to low-income people.

RHS has made self-help housing increasingly important. For fiscal years 1997, 1998 and 1999 the Section 523 grant funding was raised to \$26 million. It increased to \$28 million for FY 2000 and \$34 million for FY 2001. For FY 2002, \$35 million has been appropriated.

In 1996, Congress created a new program to assist self-help housing nationwide. The Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program (SHOP) makes funds available for land acquisition and infrastructure improvement in both urban and rural areas for projects using self-help or volunteers in construction.

There are presently about 100 RHS self-help grantees located throughout the United States. Some operate multiple projects and maintain more than one grant.

In 2002, self-help housing remains a viable means for low-income families to obtain decent, affordable homes. It embodies the American spirit by substituting personal labor for a degree of repayment ability. The skills obtained in the process often lead to economic improvement and work sharing leads to a better sense of community.

RHS has been working on a reinvention of the self-help housing regulations for several years and anticipates publishing a proposed rule during 2003. The draft is believed to, among other things, reduce the amount of family labor required. The program has historically involved a considerable amount of family labor. There is disagreement among self-help housing grantees on whether the families' contribution of labor should be reduced.

**TABLE 1. INITIAL\* SECTION 502 SELF-HELP LOANS**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
<1966	109	\$685,430	\$6,288
1966	86	\$533,950	\$6,209
1967	335	\$2,340,620	\$6,987
1968	518	\$3,971,420	\$7,667
1969	693	\$5,803,980	\$8,375
1970	551	\$5,157,650	\$9,361
1971	550	\$5,597,740	\$10,178
1972	490	\$5,853,140	\$11,945
1973	910	\$12,584,880	\$13,830
1974	874	\$14,363,590	\$16,434
1975	676	\$12,019,550	\$17,780
1976	1,111	\$21,648,250	\$19,485
1977	805	\$17,300,878	\$21,492
1978	755	\$18,500,790	\$24,504
1979	878	\$25,785,027	\$29,368
1980	1,091	\$37,047,768	\$33,958
1981	1,135	\$39,830,760	\$35,093
1982	1,286	\$46,885,670	\$36,459
1983	1,113	\$42,030,650	\$37,763
1984	1,018	\$40,015,640	\$39,308
1985	990	\$40,345,840	\$40,753
1986	772	\$31,580,765	\$40,908
1987	728	\$28,090,050	\$38,585
1988	790	\$32,798,480	\$41,517
1989	830	\$37,211,160	\$44,833
1990	877	\$41,657,380	\$47,500
1991	717	\$35,735,430	\$49,840
1992	760	\$40,750,510	\$53,619
1993	1,124	\$63,573,230	\$56,560
1994	1,057	\$65,726,217	\$62,182
1995	1,138	\$72,356,125	\$63,582
1996	1,514	\$103,434,698	\$68,319
1997	1,478	\$108,727,685	\$73,564
1998	1,438	\$106,398,759	\$73,991

1999	1,312	\$104,887,015	\$79,944
2000	1,480	\$125,258,241	\$84,634
2001	1,417	\$120,046,575	\$84,719
2002	1,447	\$124,876,333	\$86,300
2003	1,455	\$131,222,112	\$90,187
<b>Totals</b>	36,308	\$1,772,633,988	\$48,822

\*Initial loans equal units financed. Subsequent loans, which may be made on the same units, are omitted from this table and from Table 2.

Compiled by the Housing Assistance Council. Sources for Tables 1, 2 and 3: Pre-1966 from *RHA Reporter*, courtesy of Self-Help Enterprises; 1966-1977 from FmHA Housing Statistics Report; 1978-1992 from FmHA Report Code 506; 1993-1997 from Report Code 506 with some state office corrections; 1998-2000 from Report Code 506; 2001-2002 from Report Code 506 and the Single Family Housing Processing Division of the RHS national office.

**TABLE 2. INITIAL SECTION 502 SELF-HELP LOANS BY STATE,  
BY FISCAL YEAR**

**TABLE 2A. THROUGH FY 1965**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
California	69	\$470,730	\$6,822
New Jersey	14	\$94,100	\$6,721
North Carolina	5	\$29,500	\$5,900
Pennsylvania	6	\$51,900	\$8,650
Texas	10	\$20,400	\$2,040
Puerto Rico	5	\$18,800	\$3,760
<b>Totals</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>\$685,430</b>	<b>\$6,288</b>

**TABLE 2B. FY 1966**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
California	48	\$334,300	\$6,965
New Jersey	8	\$55,500	\$6,938
North Carolina	6	\$35,500	\$5,917
Pennsylvania	7	\$60,150	\$8,593
Texas	10	\$20,400	\$2,040
Puerto Rico	7	\$28,100	\$4,014
<b>Totals</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>\$533,950</b>	<b>\$6,209</b>

**TABLE 2C. FY 1967**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	11	\$60,470	\$5,497
Arizona	12	\$75,900	\$6,325
Arkansas	14	\$76,990	\$5,499
California	153	\$1,147,660	\$7,501
Florida	18	\$128,960	\$7,164
Kentucky	29	\$192,070	\$6,623
Louisiana	10	\$56,000	\$5,600
Maine	7	\$43,300	\$6,186
Mississippi	24	\$140,900	\$5,871
New Jersey	13	\$114,300	\$8,792
New York	8	\$76,220	\$9,528
North Carolina	3	\$19,000	\$6,333
Oklahoma	10	\$73,230	\$7,323
Pennsylvania	6	\$57,600	\$9,600
Washington	1	\$14,900	\$14,900
Puerto Rico	16	\$63,120	\$3,945
<b>Totals</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>\$2,340,620</b>	<b>\$6,987</b>

**TABLE 2D. FY 1968**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	19	\$114,650	\$6,034
Arizona	20	\$135,950	\$6,798
California	236	\$1,925,650	\$8,160
Florida	92	\$697,710	\$7,584
Georgia	6	\$40,500	\$6,750
Louisiana	28	\$181,040	\$6,466
Maine	10	\$94,060	\$9,406
Maryland	1	\$16,000	\$16,000
Michigan	4	\$36,900	\$9,225
Mississippi	2	\$11,570	\$5,785
New Jersey	5	\$53,250	\$10,650
New Mexico	16	\$107,900	\$6,744
New York	9	\$92,200	\$10,244
North Carolina	9	\$51,700	\$5,744
Oklahoma	8	\$62,890	\$7,861
Oregon	10	\$75,850	\$7,585
South Carolina	19	\$95,780	\$5,041
Texas	5	\$15,000	\$3,000
Vermont	6	\$58,700	\$9,783
Washington	8	\$61,120	\$7,640
Wyoming	4	\$40,000	\$10,000
Puerto Rico	1	\$3,000	\$3,000
<b>Totals</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>\$3,971,420</b>	<b>\$7,667</b>

**TABLE 2E. FY 1969**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	66	\$487,170	\$7,381
Arizona	34	\$266,210	\$7,830
Arkansas	8	\$51,580	\$6,448
California	302	\$2,655,040	\$8,792
Colorado	4	\$32,000	\$8,000
Florida	77	\$601,800	\$7,816
Idaho	19	\$196,570	\$10,346
Indiana	1	\$9,900	\$9,900
Louisiana	48	\$336,000	\$7,000
Maine	5	\$42,810	\$8,562
Michigan	4	\$40,000	\$10,000
Mississippi	5	\$31,770	\$6,354
New Mexico	40	\$236,560	\$5,914
New York	7	\$74,000	\$10,571
North Carolina	4	\$32,400	\$8,100
Oklahoma	3	\$24,740	\$8,247
Oregon	10	\$90,700	\$9,070
Pennsylvania	4	\$44,560	\$11,140
Tennessee	9	\$81,660	\$9,073
Texas	7	\$46,750	\$6,679
Vermont	10	\$106,010	\$10,601
Virginia	1	\$9,200	\$9,200
Washington	24	\$296,550	\$12,356
Wyoming	1	\$10,000	\$10,000
<b>Totals</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>\$5,803,980</b>	<b>\$8,375</b>

**TABLE 2F. FY 1970**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	91	\$726,440	\$7,983
Arizona	21	\$192,920	\$9,187
California	279	\$2,703,700	\$9,691
Colorado	4	\$30,000	\$7,500
Florida	16	\$130,760	\$8,173
Idaho	8	\$101,110	\$12,639
Iowa	7	\$84,750	\$12,107
Louisiana	9	\$65,740	\$7,304
Maryland	6	\$69,220	\$11,537
Mississippi	6	\$41,070	\$6,845
New Mexico	25	\$183,650	\$7,346
New York	10	\$131,700	\$13,170
North Carolina	15	\$116,490	\$7,766
Ohio	5	\$52,200	\$10,440
Oklahoma	14	\$129,330	\$9,238
Oregon	5	\$48,340	\$9,668
South Carolina	17	\$172,680	\$10,158
Vermont	5	\$67,200	\$13,440
Wisconsin	8	\$110,350	\$13,794
Totals	551	\$5,157,650	\$9,361

**TABLE 2G. FY 1971**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	94	\$797,010	\$8,479
Arizona	35	\$369,850	\$10,567
California	198	\$2,064,900	\$10,429
Florida	39	\$366,320	\$9,393
Idaho	9	\$120,480	\$13,387
Indiana	6	\$68,000	\$11,333
Kansas	5	\$62,760	\$12,552
Louisiana	13	\$111,300	\$8,562
Maryland	11	\$134,760	\$12,251
New Mexico	9	\$73,200	\$8,133
New York	13	\$153,310	\$11,793
North Carolina	27	\$282,710	\$10,471
Ohio	5	\$74,370	\$14,874
Oklahoma	9	\$90,260	\$10,029
Oregon	13	\$146,220	\$11,248
South Carolina	20	\$205,690	\$10,285
Washington	37	\$418,160	\$11,302
Puerto Rico	7	\$58,440	\$8,349
<b>Totals</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>\$5,597,740</b>	<b>\$10,178</b>

**TABLE 2H. FY 1972**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	70	\$733,120	\$10,473
Arizona	50	\$615,870	\$12,317
Arkansas	1	\$15,700	\$15,700
California	178	\$2,065,950	\$11,606
Florida	28	\$272,270	\$9,724
New Mexico	5	\$44,500	\$8,900
New York	7	\$118,000	\$16,857
North Carolina	12	\$117,860	\$9,822
Ohio	2	\$28,320	\$14,160
Oklahoma	31	\$302,510	\$9,758
Oregon	28	\$381,520	\$13,626
Texas	1	\$9,720	\$9,720
Utah	4	\$44,290	\$11,073
Vermont	22	\$356,600	\$16,209
Washington	34	\$456,380	\$13,423
Wisconsin	17	\$290,530	\$17,090
Totals	490	\$5,853,140	\$11,945

**TABLE 2I. FY 1973**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	166	\$1,928,920	\$11,620
Arizona	51	\$638,520	\$12,520
California	249	\$3,440,060	\$13,816
Florida	8	\$75,920	\$9,490
Hawaii	1	\$27,000	\$27,000
Idaho	3	\$45,600	\$15,200
Maryland	6	\$100,020	\$16,670
Massachusetts	5	\$100,000	\$20,000
Michigan	6	\$111,880	\$18,647
Minnesota	1	\$20,400	\$20,400
Mississippi	33	\$433,160	\$13,126
New Hampshire	6	\$110,300	\$18,383
New Mexico	47	\$658,950	\$14,020
New York	12	\$180,760	\$15,063
North Carolina	28	\$384,380	\$13,728
Ohio	5	\$92,280	\$18,456
Oklahoma	16	\$175,440	\$10,965
Oregon	28	\$461,550	\$16,484
South Dakota	16	\$265,800	\$16,613
Texas	1	\$14,000	\$14,000
Vermont	13	\$228,000	\$17,538
Washington	92	\$1,340,170	\$14,567
Wisconsin	61	\$1,121,050	\$18,378
Puerto Rico	56	\$630,720	\$11,263
<b>Totals</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>\$12,584,880</b>	<b>\$13,830</b>

**TABLE 2J. FY 1974**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	42	\$530,200	\$12,624
Arizona	78	\$1,064,310	\$13,645
California	293	\$4,653,070	\$15,881
Colorado	13	\$235,910	\$18,147
Connecticut	19	\$427,700	\$22,511
Florida	47	\$681,330	\$14,496
Idaho	1	\$22,200	\$22,200
Illinois	4	\$70,000	\$17,500
Massachusetts	13	\$260,710	\$20,055
Michigan	5	\$94,890	\$18,978
Mississippi	23	\$309,560	\$13,459
Missouri	3	\$52,150	\$17,383
New Mexico	16	\$235,050	\$14,691
New York	7	\$135,100	\$19,300
North Carolina	27	\$419,560	\$15,539
North Dakota	39	\$747,550	\$19,168
Ohio	6	\$124,550	\$20,758
Oklahoma	23	\$298,090	\$12,960
Oregon	11	\$201,100	\$18,282
South Dakota	30	\$536,260	\$17,875
Texas	7	\$98,260	\$14,037
Utah	19	\$365,350	\$19,229
Washington	61	\$981,890	\$16,097
Wisconsin	86	\$1,807,400	\$21,016
Puerto Rico	1	\$11,400	\$11,400
<b>Totals</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>\$14,363,590</b>	<b>\$16,434</b>

**TABLE 2K. FY 1975**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	36	\$467,050	\$12,974
Arizona	68	\$1,133,340	\$16,667
Arkansas	1	\$19,600	\$19,600
California	138	\$2,379,910	\$17,246
Colorado	1	\$18,100	\$18,100
Connecticut	25	\$621,070	\$24,843
Florida	41	\$647,990	\$15,805
Kansas	2	\$16,300	\$8,150
Louisiana	9	\$101,770	\$11,308
Massachusetts	29	\$664,040	\$22,898
Mississippi	11	\$162,140	\$14,740
Missouri	1	\$17,700	\$17,700
New Jersey	3	\$76,400	\$25,467
New Mexico	16	\$218,600	\$13,663
New York	7	\$143,000	\$20,429
North Carolina	33	\$514,200	\$15,582
North Dakota	11	\$247,980	\$22,544
Ohio	9	\$183,750	\$20,417
Oklahoma	59	\$855,080	\$14,493
Oregon	20	\$401,400	\$20,070
South Dakota	19	\$362,950	\$19,103
Texas	17	\$280,180	\$16,481
Utah	22	\$461,900	\$20,995
Washington	31	\$578,950	\$18,676
Wisconsin	64	\$1,441,150	\$22,518
Puerto Rico	3	\$5,000	\$1,667
<b>Totals</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>\$12,019,550</b>	<b>\$17,780</b>

**TABLE 2L. FY 1976**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	42	\$679,940	\$16,189
Arizona	129	\$2,589,490	\$20,074
Arkansas	5	\$85,780	\$17,156
California	312	\$6,095,700	\$19,538
Colorado	38	\$716,190	\$18,847
Connecticut	11	\$258,300	\$23,482
Florida	80	\$1,385,560	\$17,320
Kansas	1	\$20,500	\$20,500
Louisiana	5	\$73,510	\$14,702
Massachusetts	29	\$637,040	\$21,967
Mississippi	62	\$1,030,940	\$16,628
Missouri	5	\$70,190	\$14,038
New Jersey	1	\$24,160	\$24,160
New Mexico	16	\$290,020	\$18,126
New York	8	\$180,700	\$22,588
North Carolina	37	\$604,800	\$16,346
North Dakota	28	\$676,790	\$24,171
Ohio	7	\$157,000	\$22,429
Oklahoma	57	\$882,530	\$15,483
Oregon	24	\$538,410	\$22,434
South Dakota	49	\$1,014,610	\$20,706
Texas	5	\$76,120	\$15,224
Utah	3	\$45,530	\$15,177
Washington	68	\$1,396,540	\$20,537
Wisconsin	89	\$2,117,900	\$23,797
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,111</b>	<b>\$21,648,250</b>	<b>\$19,485</b>

**TABLE 2M. FY 1977**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	12	\$213,840	\$17,820
Arizona	81	\$1,585,290	\$19,571
Arkansas	15	\$298,220	\$19,881
California	232	\$4,937,948	\$21,284
Colorado	14	\$347,960	\$24,854
Connecticut	18	\$474,300	\$26,350
Florida	79	\$1,515,660	\$19,186
Georgia	1	\$23,100	\$23,100
Kentucky	1	\$20,000	\$20,000
Louisiana	26	\$517,910	\$19,920
Maryland	1	\$31,000	\$31,000
Massachusetts	30	\$699,620	\$23,321
Mississippi	15	\$250,290	\$16,686
Missouri	1	\$21,500	\$21,500
New Mexico	17	\$317,800	\$18,694
North Carolina	19	\$399,640	\$21,034
Ohio	1	\$19,000	\$19,000
Oklahoma	43	\$690,010	\$16,047
Oregon	7	\$181,300	\$25,900
South Carolina	1	\$19,730	\$19,730
South Dakota	50	\$1,189,450	\$23,789
Washington	65	\$1,442,580	\$22,194
Wisconsin	76	\$2,104,730	\$27,694
<b>Totals</b>	<b>805</b>	<b>\$17,300,878</b>	<b>\$21,492</b>

**TABLE 2N. FY 1978**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	29	\$601,860	\$20,754
Arizona	56	\$1,198,100	\$21,395
Arkansas	8	\$191,860	\$23,983
California	203	\$5,231,290	\$25,770
Colorado	50	\$1,222,980	\$24,460
Connecticut	14	\$425,290	\$30,378
Florida	38	\$832,880	\$21,918
Idaho	16	\$438,140	\$27,384
Kansas	1	\$19,700	\$19,700
Louisiana	3	\$68,170	\$22,723
Massachusetts	37	\$921,750	\$24,912
Minnesota	12	\$403,920	\$33,660
Mississippi	24	\$488,300	\$20,346
New Hampshire	8	\$268,000	\$33,500
New Mexico	17	\$428,150	\$25,185
North Carolina	14	\$346,210	\$24,729
Oklahoma	106	\$2,049,790	\$19,338
South Dakota	64	\$1,671,160	\$26,112
Texas	4	\$117,760	\$29,440
Virginia	1	\$19,510	\$19,510
Washington	15	\$349,200	\$23,280
Wisconsin	35	\$1,206,770	\$34,479
<b>Totals</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>\$18,500,790</b>	<b>\$24,504</b>

**TABLE 20. FY 1979**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	30	\$741,840	\$24,728
Arizona	52	\$1,395,820	\$26,843
Arkansas	27	\$724,870	\$26,847
California	162	\$5,018,290	\$30,977
Colorado	74	\$2,151,290	\$29,071
Connecticut	23	\$778,760	\$33,859
Florida	61	\$1,443,390	\$23,662
Idaho	22	\$794,330	\$36,106
Illinois	1	\$23,000	\$23,000
Louisiana	7	\$207,730	\$29,676
Massachusetts	43	\$1,263,480	\$29,383
Minnesota	19	\$555,500	\$29,237
Mississippi	18	\$468,240	\$26,013
New Hampshire	7	\$267,500	\$38,214
New Mexico	46	\$1,408,487	\$30,619
North Carolina	24	\$600,850	\$25,035
Oklahoma	68	\$1,479,700	\$21,760
Oregon	19	\$661,820	\$34,833
Pennsylvania	6	\$170,300	\$28,383
South Dakota	70	\$2,228,180	\$31,831
Tennessee	2	\$54,700	\$27,350
Texas	2	\$39,260	\$19,630
Utah	1	\$18,000	\$18,000
Vermont	26	\$884,300	\$34,012
Virginia	1	\$31,450	\$31,450
Washington	34	\$1,062,370	\$31,246
Wisconsin	32	\$1,281,670	\$40,052
Puerto Rico	1	\$29,900	\$29,900
<b>Totals</b>	<b>878</b>	<b>\$25,785,027</b>	<b>\$29,368</b>

**TABLE 2P. FY 1980**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	18	\$438,840	\$24,380
Arizona	69	\$2,209,240	\$32,018
Arkansas	41	\$1,105,680	\$26,968
California	217	\$8,371,100	\$38,576
Colorado	64	\$1,929,100	\$30,142
Connecticut	22	\$871,600	\$39,618
Florida	109	\$4,344,438	\$39,857
Idaho	8	\$239,550	\$29,944
Illinois	1	\$5,890	\$5,890
Massachusetts	57	\$1,866,510	\$32,746
Michigan	12	\$360,950	\$30,079
Minnesota	7	\$292,490	\$41,784
Mississippi	52	\$1,468,050	\$28,232
Missouri	11	\$372,600	\$33,873
New Mexico	43	\$1,256,300	\$29,216
North Carolina	23	\$633,860	\$27,559
Oklahoma	77	\$1,856,340	\$24,108
Oregon	21	\$934,540	\$44,502
Pennsylvania	5	\$172,300	\$34,460
South Dakota	59	\$2,122,350	\$35,972
Tennessee	3	\$86,100	\$28,700
Texas	28	\$676,530	\$24,162
Virginia	7	\$225,100	\$32,157
Washington	79	\$2,846,000	\$36,025
Wisconsin	57	\$2,332,410	\$40,919
Wyoming	1	\$29,900	\$29,900
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,091</b>	<b>\$37,047,768</b>	<b>\$33,958</b>

**TABLE 2Q. FY 1981**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	5	\$124,190	\$24,838
Arizona	54	\$1,644,620	\$30,456
Arkansas	58	\$1,649,150	\$28,434
California	322	\$12,924,550	\$40,138
Colorado	114	\$3,753,680	\$32,927
Connecticut	14	\$570,450	\$40,746
Florida	66	\$1,752,410	\$26,552
Idaho	1	\$28,650	\$28,650
Illinois	6	\$243,000	\$40,500
Massachusetts	59	\$2,223,860	\$37,693
Michigan	21	\$714,080	\$34,004
Minnesota	18	\$690,240	\$38,347
Mississippi	39	\$1,053,200	\$27,005
Missouri	10	\$359,550	\$35,955
New Hampshire	16	\$680,000	\$42,500
North Carolina	25	\$726,810	\$29,072
Ohio	6	\$191,660	\$31,943
Oklahoma	93	\$2,538,730	\$27,298
Oregon	25	\$1,026,640	\$41,066
Pennsylvania	1	\$35,000	\$35,000
South Dakota	52	\$1,713,250	\$32,947
Tennessee	3	\$95,520	\$31,840
Texas	1	\$26,270	\$26,270
Washington	53	\$2,055,700	\$38,787
Wisconsin	73	\$3,009,550	\$41,227
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,135</b>	<b>\$39,830,760</b>	<b>\$35,093</b>

**TABLE 2R. FY 1982**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	106	\$3,427,320	\$32,333
Arkansas	76	\$2,220,300	\$29,214
California	403	\$16,889,240	\$41,909
Colorado	129	\$4,184,680	\$32,439
Connecticut	20	\$234,600	\$11,730
Florida	45	\$1,196,660	\$26,592
Massachusetts	57	\$2,215,350	\$38,866
Michigan	35	\$1,215,960	\$34,742
Minnesota	22	\$763,270	\$34,694
Mississippi	6	\$169,570	\$28,262
Missouri	8	\$275,500	\$34,438
New Hampshire	19	\$866,000	\$45,579
North Carolina	36	\$1,056,380	\$29,344
Ohio	18	\$653,500	\$36,306
Oklahoma	67	\$1,972,190	\$29,436
Oregon	1	\$39,420	\$39,420
South Carolina	1	\$29,900	\$29,900
South Dakota	20	\$659,270	\$32,964
Tennessee	12	\$357,010	\$29,751
Washington	103	\$4,222,650	\$40,997
Wisconsin	86	\$3,805,700	\$44,252
Puerto Rico	16	\$431,200	\$26,950
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,286</b>	<b>\$46,885,670</b>	<b>\$36,459</b>

**TABLE 2S. FY 1983**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	24	\$830,190	\$34,591
Arkansas	91	\$2,776,250	\$30,508
California	277	\$11,805,140	\$42,618
Colorado	64	\$2,281,420	\$35,647
Connecticut	20	\$865,400	\$43,270
Florida	85	\$2,583,820	\$30,398
Maryland	7	\$298,450	\$42,636
Massachusetts	36	\$1,507,630	\$41,879
Michigan	37	\$1,381,430	\$37,336
Minnesota	18	\$736,300	\$40,906
Mississippi	16	\$482,580	\$30,161
Missouri	15	\$543,200	\$36,213
New Hampshire	16	\$738,000	\$46,125
New Mexico	16	\$493,380	\$30,836
North Carolina	34	\$994,750	\$29,257
North Dakota	7	\$295,600	\$42,229
Ohio	19	\$779,000	\$41,000
Oklahoma	80	\$2,378,190	\$29,727
Oregon	15	\$640,100	\$42,673
Rhode Island	1	\$39,000	\$39,000
South Dakota	12	\$408,520	\$34,043
Tennessee	6	\$193,200	\$32,200
Washington	73	\$3,119,950	\$42,739
Wisconsin	124	\$5,398,200	\$43,534
Puerto Rico	16	\$427,030	\$26,689
U.S. Virgin Isl.	4	\$33,920	\$8,480
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,113</b>	<b>\$42,030,650</b>	<b>\$37,763</b>

**TABLE 2T. FY 1984**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	37	\$1,385,680	\$37,451
Arkansas	106	\$3,367,900	\$31,773
California	296	\$13,058,530	\$44,117
Colorado	32	\$1,184,670	\$37,021
Connecticut	7	\$324,400	\$46,343
Florida	40	\$1,309,060	\$32,727
Hawaii	10	\$275,000	\$27,500
Maryland	9	\$397,500	\$44,167
Massachusetts	48	\$2,138,520	\$44,553
Michigan	36	\$1,405,540	\$39,043
Minnesota	28	\$1,212,090	\$43,289
Mississippi	2	\$66,220	\$33,110
Missouri	13	\$476,400	\$36,646
New Mexico	18	\$584,800	\$32,489
North Carolina	22	\$675,100	\$30,686
Ohio	24	\$1,038,500	\$43,271
Oklahoma	97	\$2,998,030	\$30,908
South Carolina	4	\$120,700	\$30,175
South Dakota	9	\$316,150	\$35,128
Tennessee	11	\$353,600	\$32,145
Washington	36	\$1,471,000	\$40,861
Wisconsin	132	\$5,851,250	\$44,328
Puerto Rico	1	\$5,000	\$5,000
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,018</b>	<b>\$40,015,640</b>	<b>\$39,308</b>

**TABLE 2U. FY 1985**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	7	\$217,500	\$31,071
Arizona	54	\$2,156,490	\$39,935
Arkansas	97	\$3,079,740	\$31,750
California	274	\$11,823,240	\$43,151
Colorado	7	\$271,100	\$38,729
Connecticut	21	\$1,029,400	\$49,019
Florida	71	\$2,479,570	\$34,924
Hawaii	13	\$832,500	\$64,038
Maryland	6	\$201,000	\$33,500
Massachusetts	25	\$1,196,320	\$47,853
Michigan	22	\$885,920	\$40,269
Minnesota	11	\$480,750	\$43,705
Mississippi	24	\$829,210	\$34,550
Missouri	5	\$178,600	\$35,720
North Carolina	32	\$1,132,750	\$35,398
North Dakota	10	\$430,970	\$43,097
Ohio	22	\$998,000	\$45,364
Oklahoma	44	\$1,283,310	\$29,166
Rhode Island	6	\$295,470	\$49,245
South Carolina	1	\$26,690	\$26,690
South Dakota	11	\$391,140	\$35,558
Tennessee	6	\$194,700	\$32,450
Washington	76	\$3,236,920	\$42,591
Wisconsin	145	\$6,694,550	\$46,169
<b>Totals</b>	<b>990</b>	<b>\$40,345,840</b>	<b>\$40,753</b>

**TABLE 2V. FY 1986**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	41	\$1,661,010	\$40,512
Arkansas	31	\$936,820	\$30,220
California	234	\$9,613,525	\$41,083
Colorado	9	\$325,420	\$36,158
Connecticut	11	\$585,600	\$53,236
Florida	41	\$1,441,210	\$35,151
Maryland	15	\$660,150	\$44,010
Massachusetts	24	\$1,248,440	\$52,018
Michigan	55	\$2,253,470	\$40,972
Minnesota	9	\$399,300	\$44,367
Mississippi	29	\$1,050,360	\$36,219
Missouri	10	\$372,130	\$37,213
New Mexico	8	\$249,410	\$31,176
North Carolina	15	\$491,800	\$32,787
North Dakota	3	\$128,260	\$42,753
Ohio	9	\$394,000	\$43,778
Oklahoma	29	\$825,460	\$28,464
South Dakota	13	\$458,170	\$35,244
Washington	34	\$1,456,110	\$42,827
Wisconsin	152	\$7,030,120	\$46,251
Totals	772	\$31,580,765	\$40,908

**TABLE 2W. FY 1987**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	33	\$1,201,200	\$36,400
Arkansas	36	\$1,009,680	\$28,047
California	215	\$9,199,300	\$42,787
Colorado	30	\$990,290	\$33,010
Florida	70	\$2,715,830	\$38,798
Maryland	18	\$831,550	\$46,197
Michigan	6	\$256,600	\$42,767
Minnesota	14	\$612,600	\$43,757
Mississippi	35	\$1,214,050	\$34,687
New Mexico	24	\$756,670	\$31,528
North Carolina	18	\$613,540	\$34,086
Ohio	14	\$586,500	\$41,893
Oklahoma	93	\$2,630,670	\$28,287
Washington	51	\$2,182,310	\$42,790
Wisconsin	71	\$3,289,260	\$46,328
Totals	728	\$28,090,050	\$38,585

**TABLE 2X. FY 1988**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	6	\$108,000	\$18,000
Arizona	56	\$2,147,300	\$38,345
Arkansas	32	\$957,160	\$29,911
California	265	\$12,470,110	\$47,057
Colorado	17	\$626,680	\$36,864
Florida	69	\$2,722,830	\$39,461
Hawaii	4	\$128,000	\$32,000
Maryland	6	\$321,300	\$53,550
Massachusetts	15	\$938,130	\$62,542
Michigan	21	\$888,750	\$42,321
Minnesota	3	\$145,900	\$48,633
Mississippi	44	\$1,609,000	\$36,568
Missouri	13	\$518,840	\$39,911
New Mexico	16	\$531,260	\$33,204
North Carolina	10	\$418,220	\$41,822
Ohio	11	\$461,000	\$41,909
Oklahoma	73	\$2,189,110	\$29,988
Tennessee	1	\$39,600	\$39,600
Texas	13	\$375,000	\$28,846
Washington	68	\$2,975,440	\$43,756
Wisconsin	47	\$2,226,850	\$47,380
<b>Totals</b>	<b>790</b>	<b>\$32,798,480</b>	<b>\$41,517</b>

**TABLE 2Y. FY 1989**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alabama	2	\$69,800	\$34,900
Arizona	39	\$1,635,080	\$41,925
Arkansas	16	\$522,500	\$32,656
California	337	\$16,585,350	\$49,215
Colorado	16	\$599,200	\$37,450
Florida	59	\$2,480,950	\$42,050
Hawaii	16	\$942,000	\$58,875
Maryland	14	\$661,230	\$47,231
Massachusetts	19	\$1,451,770	\$76,409
Mississippi	60	\$2,201,350	\$36,689
New Mexico	11	\$394,720	\$35,884
North Carolina	13	\$434,010	\$33,385
Ohio	10	\$433,400	\$43,340
Oklahoma	85	\$2,581,550	\$30,371
Texas	11	\$346,000	\$31,455
Washington	70	\$3,366,930	\$48,099
Wisconsin	52	\$2,505,320	\$48,179
Totals	830	\$37,211,160	\$44,833

**TABLE 2Z. FY 1990**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	59	\$2,589,580	\$43,891
Arkansas	14	\$475,600	\$33,971
California	352	\$18,524,290	\$52,626
Colorado	6	\$246,770	\$41,128
Florida	58	\$2,391,400	\$41,231
Maryland	13	\$850,370	\$65,413
Massachusetts	19	\$1,606,170	\$84,535
Mississippi	55	\$2,077,340	\$37,770
New Mexico	29	\$999,600	\$34,469
North Carolina	9	\$325,600	\$36,178
Oklahoma	99	\$3,062,170	\$30,931
Washington	79	\$4,084,580	\$51,704
Wisconsin	85	\$4,423,910	\$52,046
Totals	877	\$41,657,380	\$47,500

**TABLE 2AA. FY 1991**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	46	\$2,069,210	\$44,983
Arkansas	15	\$506,970	\$33,798
California	256	\$14,912,840	\$58,253
Colorado	19	\$847,160	\$44,587
Florida	65	\$2,826,510	\$43,485
Hawaii	1	\$41,450	\$41,450
Maryland	11	\$696,350	\$63,305
Massachusetts	9	\$774,750	\$86,083
Mississippi	93	\$3,640,530	\$39,145
New Mexico	6	\$227,350	\$37,892
North Carolina	8	\$312,300	\$39,038
Oklahoma	70	\$2,145,980	\$30,657
Texas	10	\$349,000	\$34,900
Washington	64	\$3,960,820	\$61,888
Wisconsin	44	\$2,424,210	\$55,096
Totals	717	\$35,735,430	\$49,840

**TABLE 2AB. FY 1992**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	62	\$2,909,720	\$46,931
Arkansas	23	\$766,700	\$33,335
California	330	\$21,153,940	\$64,103
Colorado	15	\$604,880	\$40,325
Florida	35	\$1,520,730	\$43,449
Hawaii	8	\$656,800	\$82,100
Maine	6	\$306,500	\$51,083
Massachusetts	6	\$552,610	\$92,102
Mississippi	67	\$2,158,870	\$32,222
New Mexico	21	\$790,830	\$37,659
North Carolina	6	\$199,500	\$33,250
Oklahoma	53	\$1,577,660	\$29,767
Texas	13	\$461,000	\$35,462
Washington	78	\$5,138,570	\$65,879
Wisconsin	37	\$1,952,200	\$52,762
Totals	760	\$40,750,510	\$53,619

**TABLE 2AC. FY 1993**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	75	\$3,948,290	\$52,644
Arkansas	53	\$2,039,750	\$38,486
California	513	\$31,150,140	\$60,722
Colorado	24	\$1,311,580	\$54,649
Florida	27	\$1,276,400	\$47,274
Hawaii	43	\$3,925,070	\$91,281
Maine	13	\$738,320	\$56,794
Maryland	21	\$1,561,470	\$74,356
Michigan	10	\$682,360	\$68,236
Mississippi	80	\$2,984,150	\$37,302
New Mexico	32	\$1,317,970	\$41,187
North Carolina	3	\$124,500	\$41,500
Ohio	11	\$477,530	\$43,412
Oklahoma	91	\$3,209,650	\$35,271
Texas	8	\$286,180	\$35,773
Washington	99	\$7,166,110	\$72,385
Wisconsin	21	\$1,373,760	\$65,417
Totals	1,124	\$63,573,230	\$56,560

**TABLE 2AD. FY 1994**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	74	\$3,746,300	\$50,626
Arkansas	34	\$1,359,150	\$39,975
California	462	\$33,529,570	\$72,575
Colorado	12	\$660,000	\$55,000
Florida	42	\$2,196,290	\$52,293
Hawaii	21	\$639,647	\$30,459
Maine	4	\$212,730	\$53,183
Maryland	23	\$1,869,590	\$81,287
Massachusetts	16	\$1,344,770	\$84,048
Mississippi	67	\$2,871,770	\$42,862
New Mexico	7	\$292,080	\$41,726
North Carolina	11	\$473,780	\$43,071
Ohio*	14	\$514,120	\$36,723
Oklahoma	85	\$3,065,940	\$36,070
Tennessee	5	\$249,150	\$49,830
Texas	23	\$857,440	\$37,280
Washington	116	\$8,790,820	\$75,783
Wisconsin	41	\$3,053,070	\$74,465
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,057</b>	<b>\$65,726,217</b>	<b>\$62,182</b>

\*Does not include self-help rehabilitation loans in Ohio.

**TABLE 2AE. FY 1995**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	76	\$4,028,510	\$53,007
Arkansas	72	\$3,178,010	\$44,139
California	375	\$28,277,440	\$75,407
Colorado	33	\$2,013,060	\$61,002
Florida	84	\$4,344,438	\$51,720
Hawaii	23	\$1,652,010	\$71,827
Maine	7	\$409,000	\$58,429
Maryland	29	\$2,305,484	\$79,499
Massachusetts	18	\$1,675,823	\$93,101
Michigan	6	\$437,000	\$72,833
Minnesota	10	\$669,100	\$66,910
Mississippi	73	\$3,189,377	\$43,690
New Mexico	17	\$728,830	\$42,872
North Carolina	14	\$842,780	\$60,199
Ohio	10	\$517,100	\$51,710
Oklahoma	88	\$3,220,790	\$36,600
Oregon	10	\$669,500	\$66,950
South Dakota	8	\$459,000	\$57,375
Tennessee	5	\$277,765	\$55,553
Texas	21	\$874,920	\$41,663
Washington	123	\$9,829,660	\$79,916
Wisconsin	36	\$2,756,528	\$76,570
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,138</b>	<b>\$72,356,125</b>	<b>\$63,582</b>

**TABLE 2AF. FY 1996**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	140	\$7,734,840	\$55,249
Arkansas	69	\$3,183,545	\$46,138
California	573	\$45,843,063	\$80,005
Colorado	65	\$4,299,110	\$66,140
Florida	101	\$5,202,280	\$51,508
Hawaii	28	\$2,316,430	\$82,730
Maine	11	\$715,000	\$65,000
Maryland	16	\$1,393,990	\$87,124
Massachusetts	6	\$584,140	\$97,357
Michigan	13	\$1,078,740	\$82,980
Minnesota	3	\$202,790	\$67,597
Mississippi	46	\$2,064,650	\$44,884
Nevada	17	\$1,230,210	\$72,365
New Jersey	10	\$981,790	\$98,179
New Mexico	17	\$802,900	\$47,229
North Carolina	11	\$724,000	\$65,818
Ohio	11	\$492,850	\$44,805
Oklahoma	103	\$4,094,070	\$39,748
Oregon	11	\$850,470	\$77,315
Pennsylvania	4	\$298,520	\$74,630
South Dakota	9	\$550,000	\$61,111
Texas	32	\$1,296,380	\$40,512
Washington	191	\$15,450,900	\$80,895
Wisconsin	24	\$1,857,480	\$77,395
Wyoming	3	\$186,550	\$62,183
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,514</b>	<b>\$103,434,698</b>	<b>\$68,319</b>

**TABLE 2AG. FY 1997**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	133	\$7,808,619	\$58,711
Arkansas	45	\$2,188,590	\$48,635
California	516	\$45,494,316	\$88,167
Colorado	52	\$3,793,063	\$72,944
Delaware	9	\$763,200	\$84,800
Florida	89	\$4,494,970	\$50,505
Georgia	8	\$433,180	\$54,148
Hawaii	69	\$7,166,429	\$103,861
Idaho	17	\$1,130,310	\$66,489
Kansas	9	\$474,590	\$52,732
Maine	1	\$57,000	\$57,000
Maryland	46	\$3,822,510	\$83,098
Massachusetts	12	\$1,081,915	\$90,160
Michigan	14	\$1,270,859	\$90,776
Minnesota	7	\$496,656	\$70,951
Mississippi	76	\$3,184,216	\$41,898
Montana	11	\$597,800	\$54,345
Nevada	8	\$476,010	\$59,501
New Jersey	6	\$626,494	\$104,416
New Mexico	16	\$776,500	\$48,531
North Carolina	13	\$844,600	\$64,969
Ohio	11	\$648,540	\$58,958
Oklahoma	86	\$3,751,080	\$43,617
Oregon	6	\$526,000	\$87,667
Pennsylvania	17	\$1,004,620	\$59,095
South Dakota	14	\$878,526	\$62,752
Texas	13	\$429,860	\$33,066
Vermont	5	\$341,020	\$68,204
Washington	128	\$10,754,436	\$84,019
West Virginia	6	\$454,396	\$75,733
Wisconsin	30	\$2,606,680	\$86,889
Wyoming	5	\$350,700	\$70,140
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,478</b>	<b>\$108,727,685</b>	<b>\$73,564</b>



**TABLE 2AH. FY 1998**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alaska	3	\$255,000	\$85,000
Arizona	148	\$5,910,183	\$39,934
Arkansas	55	\$2,823,495	\$51,336
California	564	\$51,520,681	\$91,349
Colorado	59	\$4,618,595	\$78,281
Delaware	2	\$171,640	\$85,820
Florida	75	\$4,005,203	\$53,403
Hawaii	19	\$2,357,285	\$124,068
Idaho	20	\$1,205,200	\$60,260
Iowa	1	\$32,500	\$32,500
Kentucky	5	\$295,000	\$59,000
Maine	6	\$396,500	\$66,083
Maryland	35	\$3,338,704	\$95,392
Michigan	14	\$1,272,410	\$90,886
Minnesota	4	\$336,861	\$84,215
Mississippi	54	\$2,805,057	\$51,946
Missouri	8	\$484,944	\$60,618
Montana	4	\$237,600	\$59,400
Nebraska	7	\$364,500	\$52,071
Nevada	5	\$324,501	\$64,900
New Jersey	1	\$106,475	\$106,475
New Mexico	15	\$835,455	\$55,697
North Carolina	23	\$1,669,078	\$72,569
Ohio	7	\$387,340	\$55,334
Oklahoma	87	\$4,118,973	\$47,345
Oregon	9	\$838,975	\$93,219
Pennsylvania	13	\$1,007,590	\$77,507
South Dakota	11	\$799,000	\$72,636
Tennessee	5	\$299,970	\$59,994
Texas	33	\$998,500	\$30,258
Vermont	6	\$449,992	\$74,999
Virginia	5	\$279,600	\$55,920
Washington	109	\$9,565,342	\$87,755
West Virginia	4	\$298,210	\$74,553
Wisconsin	22	\$1,988,400	\$90,382

Totals	1,438	\$106,398,759	\$73,991
--------	-------	---------------	----------

**TABLE 2A1. FY 1999**

State	Number of Loans	Dollars	Average Loan
Arizona	129	\$8,521,893	\$66,061
Arkansas	45	\$2,503,976	\$55,644
California	377	\$35,294,381	\$93,619
Colorado	59	\$4,646,080	\$78,747
Delaware	8	\$696,400	\$87,050
Florida	81	\$4,500,393	\$55,560
Hawaii	63	\$7,718,990	\$122,524
Idaho	18	\$1,146,140	\$63,674
Kansas	12	\$805,250	\$67,104
Kentucky	4	\$248,000	\$62,000
Maine	5	\$332,500	\$66,500
Maryland	36	\$3,464,815	\$96,245
Massachusetts	4	\$362,889	\$90,722
Michigan	12	\$1,207,564	\$100,630
Minnesota	2	\$181,600	\$90,800
Mississippi	62	\$3,428,320	\$55,295
Missouri	7	\$472,264	\$67,466
Nebraska	4	\$290,700	\$72,675
Nevada	16	\$1,369,072	\$85,567
New Mexico	18	\$950,705	\$52,817
North Carolina	15	\$1,130,478	\$75,365
Ohio	13	\$871,400	\$67,031
Oklahoma	54	\$2,624,023	\$48,593
Oregon	21	\$1,921,141	\$91,483
Pennsylvania	6	\$369,365	\$61,561
South Dakota	19	\$1,414,350	\$74,439
Tennessee	3	\$202,350	\$67,450
Texas	39	\$1,287,000	\$33,000
Utah	17	\$1,635,079	\$96,181
Vermont	1	\$80,490	\$80,490
Virginia	5	\$353,960	\$70,792
Washington	124	\$11,888,567	\$95,876
West Virginia	11	\$869,080	\$79,007
Wisconsin	22	\$2,097,800	\$95,355

Totals	1,312	\$104,887,015	\$79,944
--------	-------	---------------	----------

**TABLE 2AJ. FY 2000**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	167	\$11,231,094	\$67,252
Arkansas	45	\$2,592,730	\$57,616
California	564	\$56,466,862	\$100,119
Colorado	76	\$6,402,073	\$84,238
Florida	60	\$3,684,900	\$61,415
Hawaii	27	\$3,192,455	\$118,239
Idaho	24	\$1,591,200	\$66,300
Iowa	4	\$284,300	\$71,075
Kansas	21	\$1,518,475	\$72,308
Kentucky	4	\$260,000	\$65,000
Maine	7	\$449,500	\$64,214
Maryland	43	\$4,234,765	\$98,483
Michigan	7	\$727,253	\$103,893
Mississippi	52	\$2,955,009	\$56,827
Missouri	17	\$1,262,733	\$74,278
Nevada	10	\$897,812	\$89,781
New Mexico	22	\$1,276,000	\$58,000
North Carolina	17	\$1,528,469	\$89,910
North Dakota	6	\$453,150	\$75,525
Ohio	12	\$869,475	\$72,456
Oklahoma	52	\$2,335,918	\$44,922
Oregon	22	\$2,153,288	\$97,877
Pennsylvania	6	\$383,400	\$63,900
Puerto Rico	5	\$204,000	\$40,800
South Dakota	7	\$444,280	\$63,469
Tennessee	2	\$134,540	\$67,270
Texas	39	\$1,643,930	\$42,152
Utah	20	\$1,982,400	\$99,120
Virginia	9	\$646,005	\$71,778
Washington	105	\$11,026,500	\$105,014
West Virginia	5	\$379,800	\$75,960
Wisconsin	19	\$1,831,925	\$96,417
Wyoming	4	\$214,000	\$53,500
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,480</b>	<b>\$125,258,241</b>	<b>\$84,634</b>

**TABLE 2AK. FY 2001**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alaska	7	\$764,400	\$109,200
Arizona	144	\$10,126,502	\$70,323
Arkansas	62	\$3,898,437	\$62,878
California	456	\$45,204,263	\$99,132
Colorado	75	\$6,618,539	\$88,247
Delaware	7	\$618,365	\$88,338
Florida	72	\$4,671,082	\$64,876
Hawaii	31	\$3,686,090	\$118,906
Idaho	29	\$1,949,310	\$67,218
Kansas	12	\$872,800	\$72,733
Kentucky	3	\$195,000	\$65,000
Maine	12	\$837,000	\$69,750
Maryland	45	\$3,846,050	\$85,468
Michigan	16	\$1,507,794	\$94,237
Mississippi	47	\$2,647,418	\$56,328
Missouri	12	\$863,756	\$71,980
Montana	10	\$598,000	\$59,800
Nevada	6	\$475,143	\$79,191
New Mexico	18	\$1,044,000	\$58,000
North Carolina	13	\$1,126,980	\$86,691
North Dakota	5	\$402,890	\$80,578
Ohio	11	\$844,050	\$76,732
Oklahoma	83	\$5,263,308	\$63,413
Oregon	12	\$1,282,000	\$106,833
Pennsylvania	6	\$387,600	\$64,600
Puerto Rico	6	\$246,000	\$41,000
South Dakota	8	\$555,886	\$69,486
Texas	21	\$813,360	\$38,731
Utah	33	\$3,588,900	\$108,755
Virginia	9	\$651,595	\$72,399
Washington	110	\$10,809,627	\$98,269
West Virginia	7	\$577,960	\$82,566
Wisconsin	29	\$3,072,470	\$105,947
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,417</b>	<b>\$120,046,575</b>	<b>\$84,719</b>

**TABLE 2AL. FY 2002**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Arizona	154	\$10,027,651	\$65,115
Arkansas	42	\$2,638,534	\$62,822
California	334	\$33,515,178	\$100,345
Colorado	119	\$10,967,637	\$92,165
Delaware	7	\$638,400	\$91,200
Florida	93	\$6,472,640	\$69,598
Hawaii	60	\$7,066,870	\$117,781
Idaho	33	\$2,223,573	\$67,381
Indiana	5	\$351,200	\$70,240
Iowa	3	\$209,000	\$69,667
Kansas	30	\$2,289,240	\$76,308
Maine	15	\$1,156,500	\$77,100
Maryland	55	\$6,218,790	\$113,069
Michigan	13	\$1,307,000	\$100,538
Mississippi	33	\$1,948,139	\$59,035
Missouri	8	\$627,093	\$78,387
Montana	16	\$1,237,900	\$77,369
Nevada	9	\$850,654	\$94,517
New Mexico	6	\$295,620	\$49,270
North Carolina	25	\$2,156,032	\$86,241
North Dakota	6	\$475,070	\$79,178
Ohio	9	\$711,048	\$79,005
Oklahoma	109	\$6,439,094	\$59,074
Oregon	24	\$2,460,484	\$102,520
Puerto Rico	6	\$331,500	\$55,250
South Carolina	6	\$322,970	\$53,828
South Dakota	9	\$675,000	\$75,000
Tennessee	6	\$510,000	\$85,000
Texas	20	\$895,519	\$44,776
Utah	73	\$7,155,414	\$98,019
Virginia	6	\$445,710	\$74,285
Washington	91	\$10,099,633	\$110,985
West Virginia	10	\$824,790	\$82,479
Wisconsin	12	\$1,332,450	\$111,038
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,447</b>	<b>\$124,876,333</b>	<b>\$86,300</b>



**Table 2AM. FY 2003**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Alaska	9	\$821,427	\$91,270
Arizona	143	\$9,240,948	\$64,622
Arkansas	38	\$2,573,562	\$67,725
California	341	\$36,536,295	\$107,145
Colorado	119	\$11,453,643	\$96,249
Delaware	10	\$981,500	\$98,150
Florida	71	\$5,390,600	\$75,924
Hawaii	62	\$7,003,470	\$112,959
Idaho	35	\$2,470,686	\$70,591
Indiana	6	\$431,500	\$71,917
Kansas	37	\$2,986,715	\$80,722
Louisiana	5	\$397,304	\$79,461
Maine	16	\$1,350,500	\$84,406
Maryland	38	\$4,499,720	\$118,414
Michigan	2	\$209,613	\$104,807
Mississippi	21	\$1,197,857	\$57,041
Missouri	10	\$822,700	\$82,270
Montana	21	\$1,910,000	\$90,952
Nevada	11	\$1,186,210	\$107,837
New Mexico	20	\$1,232,000	\$61,600
North Carolina	14	\$1,242,574	\$88,755
North Dakota	4	\$340,800	\$85,200
Ohio	12	\$1,011,775	\$84,315
Oklahoma	121	\$7,188,513	\$59,409
Oregon	17	\$1,910,738	\$112,396
Pennsylvania	6	\$429,879	\$71,647
Puerto Rico	3	\$174,390	\$58,130
South Dakota	9	\$657,585	\$73,065
Texas	26	\$1,530,812	\$58,877
Utah	88	\$9,058,493	\$102,937
Virginia	6	\$445,750	\$74,292
Washington	107	\$11,773,993	\$110,037
West Virginia	12	\$1,046,960	\$87,247

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of</b>	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Average Loan</b>
Wisconsin	15	\$1,713,600	\$114,240
Total	1455	\$131,222,112	\$90,187

**TABLE 3. INITIAL SECTION 502 SELF-HELP LOANS  
BY STATE, INCEPTION THROUGH FY 2003**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	746	\$9,040,840
Alaska	19	\$1,840,827
Arizona	2,750	\$119,453,040
Arkansas	1,275	\$49,818,829
California	11,905	\$665,287,542
Colorado	1,413	\$79,382,860
Connecticut	225	\$7,466,870
Delaware	43	\$3,869,505
Florida	2,232	\$84,785,164
Georgia	15	\$496,780
Hawaii	499	\$49,627,496
Idaho	263	\$13,703,049
Illinois	12	\$341,890
Indiana	18	\$860,600
Iowa	15	\$610,550
Kansas	130	\$9,066,330
Kentucky	46	\$1,210,070
Louisiana	163	\$2,116,474
Maine	125	\$7,141,220
Maryland	511	\$41,824,788
Massachusetts	616	\$27,316,237
Michigan	391	\$19,346,963
Minnesota	188	\$8,199,767
Mississippi	1,356	\$50,664,233
Missouri	157	\$7,791,850
Montana	62	\$4,581,300
Nebraska	11	\$655,200
Nevada	82	\$6,809,612
New Hampshire	72	\$2,929,800
New Jersey	61	\$2,132,469
New Mexico	650	\$20,039,247

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
New York	88	\$1,284,990
North Carolina	673	\$23,832,691
North Dakota	119	\$4,199,060
Ohio	294	\$13,612,258
Oklahoma	2,366	\$80,464,349
Oregon	369	\$18,441,506
Pennsylvania	93	\$4,472,784
Puerto Rico	150	\$2,667,600
Rhode Island	7	\$334,470
South Carolina	69	\$994,140
South Dakota	568	\$19,770,887
Tennessee	79	\$3,129,865
Texas	420	\$13,885,551
Utah	280	\$24,355,356
Vermont	94	\$2,572,312
Virgin Islands	4	\$33,920
Virginia	50	\$3,107,880
Washington	2,637	\$165,671,378
West Virginia	55	\$4,451,196
West Pacific Terr.	0	
Wisconsin	1,844	\$86,109,243
Wyoming	18	\$831,150
<b>Total</b>	<b>36,328</b>	<b>\$1,772,633,988</b>

**TABLE 4. SECTION 523 SELF-HELP  
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS AND CONTRACTS**

Year	Grants		Contracts		Total Dollars
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars	
1971	15	\$1,720,960			\$1,720,960
1972	9	\$1,617,910			\$1,617,910
1973	24	\$3,728,451			\$3,728,451
1974	29	\$3,831,610			\$3,831,610
1975	26	\$5,659,690			\$5,659,690
1976	18	\$6,089,810			\$6,089,810
1977	35	\$4,491,300			\$4,491,300
1978	30	\$3,645,920			\$3,645,920
1979	36	\$5,629,420			\$5,629,420
1980	48	\$6,198,740			\$6,198,740
1981	71	\$12,376,490	7	\$820,530	\$13,197,020
1982	26	\$4,664,390	4	\$487,374	\$5,151,764
1983	42	\$10,226,910	5	\$756,576	\$10,983,486
1984	29	\$5,007,430	2	\$84,500	\$5,091,930
1985	42	\$9,435,980	8	\$1,317,530	\$10,753,510
1986	30	\$5,114,050	4	\$740,367	\$5,854,417
1987	38	\$7,624,830	4	\$773,578	\$8,398,408
1988	22	\$5,735,000	1	\$19,693	\$5,754,693
1989	26	\$8,306,160	9	\$1,893,845	\$10,200,005
1990	24	\$5,300,850	6	\$1,125,650	\$6,426,500
1991	41	\$12,034,360	5	\$1,171,499	\$13,205,859
1992	40	\$7,775,970	7	\$328,148	\$8,104,118
1993	54	\$16,858,480	6	\$1,148,212	\$18,006,692
1994	46	\$11,903,300	4	\$940,357	\$12,843,657
1995	66	\$12,931,360	5	\$1,530,795	\$14,462,155
1996	57	\$11,330,320	5	\$1,574,870	\$12,905,190
1997	126	\$24,098,870	6	\$2,062,872	\$26,161,742
1998	108	\$24,868,620	5	\$1,813,640	\$26,682,260
1999	102	\$23,325,070	7	\$2,063,327	\$25,388,397

Year	Grants		Contracts		Total Dollars
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars	
2000	98	\$25,149,330	6	\$4,634,305	\$29,783,635
2001	70	\$17,628,000	0	\$0	\$0
2002	76	\$23,549,970	5	\$2,933,136	\$26,483,106
2003	108	\$35,729	5	\$4,340,099	\$40,069,569
Totals	1,612	\$363,589,021	116	\$32,560,903	\$396,149,924

Source for Tables 4, 5 and 6: Report Code 205 and FmHA "Housing Program Statistics"

**TABLE 5. SECTION 523 SELF-HELP TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE  
GRANTS AND CONTRACTS BY STATE, BY FISCAL YEAR**

**TABLE 5A. FY 1971**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	5	\$546,610
Arizona	2	\$218,700
California	2	\$333,150
Oklahoma	1	\$78,700
Oregon	2	\$177,000
Vermont	1	\$94,300
Washington	1	\$178,500
Wisconsin	1	\$94,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>\$1,720,960</b>

**TABLE 5B. FY 1972**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	1	\$125,000
California	4	\$914,620
Mississippi	1	\$106,690
South Dakota	1	\$87,100
Washington	2	\$384,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$1,617,910</b>

**TABLE 5C. FY 1973**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	2	\$118,940
Arizona	1	\$142,000
California	2	\$968,100
Colorado	1	\$269,000
Connecticut	1	\$100,000
Idaho	1	\$244,000
Maryland	3	\$158,171
Massachusetts	1	\$99,500
Mississippi	1	\$109,760
New York	1	\$121,400
North Dakota	1	\$90,000
Ohio	1	\$165,900
Oklahoma	1	\$73,500
Pennsylvania	1	\$96,400
South Dakota	1	\$102,000
Texas	1	\$45,000
Utah	1	\$150,000
Washington	1	\$386,380
Wisconsin	2	\$288,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$3,728,451</b>

**TABLE 5D. FY 1974**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	4	\$306,330
Arizona	2	\$222,100
California	3	\$1,050,000
Connecticut	1	\$89,940
Florida	2	\$346,800
Mississippi	2	\$28,000
New Mexico	1	\$150,000
North Dakota	1	\$102,000
Oklahoma	5	\$394,300
Oregon	1	\$300,000
South Dakota	1	\$130,000
Washington	3	\$427,570
Wisconsin	3	\$284,570
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$3,831,610</b>

**TABLE 5E. FY 1975**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Arizona	3	\$489,600
Arkansas	1	\$70,600
California	5	\$3,116,700
Colorado	1	\$226,500
Florida	1	\$149,000
Massachusetts	2	\$230,000
Michigan	1	\$55,000
Mississippi	1	\$167,750
Missouri	1	\$36,000
New Mexico	1	\$200,000
North Dakota	2	\$97,640
Oklahoma	1	\$75,000
South Dakota	1	\$119,200
Texas	1	\$45,000
Utah	1	\$60,000
Washington	1	\$200,000
Wisconsin	2	\$321,700
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>\$5,659,690</b>

**TABLE 5F. FY 1976**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	1	\$120,450
California	5	\$4,194,460
Connecticut	1	\$100,000
Florida	3	\$474,200
Georgia	1	\$257,100
New Mexico	1	\$100,000
North Dakota	2	\$188,900
Oklahoma	1	\$93,100
Washington	2	\$400,000
Wisconsin	1	\$161,600
<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$6,089,810</b>

**TABLE 5G. FY 1977**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Arizona	4	\$474,500
Colorado	1	\$160,000
Florida	1	\$165,000
Idaho	1	\$147,660
Louisiana	1	\$149,650
Massachusetts	2	\$630,000
Mississippi	3	\$117,240
North Carolina	1	\$100,000
Oklahoma	6	\$645,130
South Dakota	5	\$597,250
Texas	1	\$96,910
Washington	5	\$888,660
Wisconsin	4	\$319,300
<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>\$4,491,300</b>

**TABLE 5H. FY 1978**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	2	\$206,510
Arizona	1	\$30,000
Arkansas	1	\$118,500
California	1	\$249,200
Colorado	3	\$472,000
Connecticut	1	\$130,000
Florida	3	\$291,840
Idaho	1	\$131,380
Minnesota	1	\$97,340
Mississippi	1	\$128,750
New Hampshire	1	\$100,000
New Mexico	2	\$516,900
Oklahoma	3	\$45,390
Pennsylvania	1	\$178,000
South Dakota	2	\$247,240
Vermont	1	\$120,000
Washington	2	\$530,770
Wisconsin	3	\$52,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>\$3,645,920</b>

**TABLE 5I. FY 1979**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	1	\$137,850
Arizona	2	\$399,580
Arkansas	1	\$20,480
California	2	\$935,000
Colorado	2	\$136,600
Connecticut	1	\$180,000
Florida	5	\$792,580
Indiana	1	\$150,000
Massachusetts	2	\$420,000
Michigan	1	\$198,000
Minnesota	1	\$141,200
Missouri	1	\$108,000
North Carolina	1	\$40,000
Oklahoma	6	\$826,460
Oregon	1	\$200,000
South Dakota	3	\$351,710
West Virginia	1	\$140,000
Wisconsin	3	\$333,000
Puerto Rico	1	\$118,960
<b>Total</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>\$5,629,420</b>

**TABLE 5J. FY 1980**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Grants</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	1	\$149,500
Arizona	1	\$195,000
Arkansas	2	\$276,000
California	2	\$815,700
Colorado	8	\$887,400
Florida	5	\$503,840
Idaho	3	\$28,830
Massachusetts	1	\$300,000
Minnesota	1	\$32,000
Mississippi	5	\$356,500
New Hampshire	1	\$142,000
New Mexico	1	\$30,000
North Carolina	1	\$140,000
Oklahoma	2	\$200,890
South Dakota	2	\$328,580
Tennessee	1	\$199,940
Texas	1	\$288,970
Virginia	1	\$99,630
Washington	2	\$265,910
Wisconsin	4	\$522,640
Puerto Rico	3	\$435,410
<b>Total</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>\$6,198,740</b>

**TABLE 5K. FY 1981**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Alabama	1	\$84,280		
Arizona	3	\$791,300		
Arkansas	4	\$455,770		
California	8	\$3,468,100	1	\$174,320
Colorado	6	\$920,390		
Connecticut	1	\$198,000		
Florida	4	\$439,460	1	\$139,079
Idaho	1	\$20,450		
Illinois	1	\$120,900		
Massachusetts	3	\$735,950	1	\$60,047
Michigan	3	\$550,000		
Minnesota	2	\$297,000		
Mississippi	1	\$43,640		
Missouri	2	\$152,690		
New Mexico	1	\$80,610		
New York	1	\$51,380		
North Carolina	3	\$363,240		
Ohio	1	\$157,590		
Oklahoma	7	\$934,090	1	\$96,542
Oregon	3	\$544,700		
South Dakota	3	\$210,640		
Texas	2	\$56,790		
Washington	4	\$969,460		
Wisconsin	4	\$423,670	1	\$66,613
Puerto Rico	1	\$134,320		
U.S. Virgin Islands	1	\$172,070		
Washington, D.C.			2	\$283,929
<b>Totals</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>\$12,376,490</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$820,530</b>

**TABLE 5L. FY 1982**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arkansas	4	\$620,060		
California	1	\$747,000	1	\$159,291
Colorado	2	\$136,300		
Florida	1	\$328,000	1	\$107,457
Maryland	2	\$190,040		
Mississippi	2	\$212,840		
New Hampshire	1	\$93,000		
New Mexico	1	\$295,610		
Ohio	1	\$197,500		
Oklahoma	2	\$260,660	1	\$62,830
South Dakota	2	\$320,980		
Washington	3	\$499,100		
Wisconsin	4	\$763,300	1	\$157,796
Totals	26	\$4,664,390	4	\$487,374

**TABLE 5M. FY 1983**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	3	\$562,280		
Arkansas	4	\$578,410		
California	5	\$3,846,500	1	\$212,021
Colorado	1	\$103,500		
Connecticut	1	\$186,000		
Delaware	1	\$96,000	1	\$118,605
Florida	1	\$140,100	1	\$138,728
Hawaii	1	\$264,460		
Maryland	1	\$95,450		
Massachusetts	2	\$625,050		
Michigan	2	\$322,500		
Minnesota	2	\$346,200		
Missouri	1	\$9,000		
New Mexico	1	\$198,440		
North Carolina	3	\$483,120		
North Dakota	1	\$125,560		
Ohio	1	\$164,000		
Oklahoma	3	\$423,500	1	\$129,585
South Carolina	1	\$85,200		
Tennessee	1	\$199,270		
Washington	2	\$647,870		
Wisconsin	4	\$724,500	1	\$157,637
<b>Totals</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>\$10,226,910</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$756,576</b>

**TABLE 5N. FY 1984**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arkansas	2	\$310,500		
California	2	\$1,239,140	1	\$20,000
Colorado	2	\$317,790		
Delaware	1	\$14,500		
Florida	4	\$740,330		
Michigan	1	\$217,000		
Mississippi	2	\$242,300		
New Mexico	2	\$302,990		
North Carolina	1	\$176,200		
Ohio	1	\$28,650		
Oklahoma	2	\$272,290	1	\$64,500
South Dakota	1	\$186,600		
Washington	5	\$503,140		
Wisconsin	3	\$456,000		
Totals	29	\$5,007,430	2	\$84,500

**TABLE 50. FY 1985**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	2	\$498,360		
Arkansas	1	\$147,000		
California	4	\$3,615,850	2	\$454,878
Colorado	1	\$8,000		
Connecticut	1	\$198,900		
Delaware			2	\$306,664
Florida	1	\$161,700	2	\$271,415
Maryland	5	\$381,430		
Massachusetts	6	\$795,430		
Michigan	1	\$224,500		
Missouri	2	\$120,480		
Ohio	1	\$278,000		
Oklahoma	3	\$317,720	2	\$284,573
Oregon	1	\$199,930		
Rhode Island	1	\$139,460		
Washington	2	\$592,740		
Wisconsin	10	\$1,756,480		
Totals	42	\$9,435,980	8	\$1,317,530

**TABLE 5P. FY 1986**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	1	\$190,000		
Arkansas	1	\$148,900		
California	2	\$1,478,200	1	\$250,494
Colorado	1	\$184,530		
Delaware			1	\$190,799
Florida	1	\$185,460	1	\$155,470
Massachusetts	3	\$74,970		
Minnesota	2	\$314,680		
Mississippi	6	\$742,260		
North Carolina	2	\$224,000		
North Dakota	1	\$30,000		
Oklahoma	2	\$339,500	1	\$143,604
Washington	2	\$605,600		
Wisconsin	6	\$595,950		
Totals	30	\$5,114,050	4	\$740,367

**TABLE 5Q. FY 1987**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	1	\$350,490		
Arkansas	3	\$344,870		
California	5	\$3,346,590	1	\$259,000
Colorado	1	\$70,860		
Delaware			1	\$204,155
Florida	1	\$230,450	1	\$161,792
Maryland	2	\$168,690		
Massachusetts	2	\$184,210		
Michigan	5	\$232,150		
Mississippi	5	\$651,160		
North Carolina	2	\$187,000		
Ohio	1	\$53,200		
Oklahoma	2	\$305,870	1	\$148,631
Washington	2	\$600,620		
Wisconsin	6	\$898,670		
Totals	38	\$7,624,830	4	\$773,578

**TABLE 5R. FY 1988**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	3	\$366,000		
California	3	\$2,433,200		
Colorado	1	\$25,000		
Florida	3	\$766,960		
Maryland	1	\$117,000		
Massachusetts	1	\$180,150		
Mississippi	2	\$361,950		
Missouri	1	\$125,000		
Ohio	1	\$321,800		
Oklahoma	1	\$158,000	1	\$19,693
Texas	1	\$119,170		
Washington	1	\$280,000		
Wisconsin	3	\$480,770		
Totals	22	\$5,735,000	1	\$19,693

**TABLE 5S. FY 1989**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	2	\$703,450		
Arkansas	1	\$136,000		
California	4	\$3,980,700	2	\$610,335
Colorado	2	\$313,370		
Delaware			2	\$478,284
Florida	2	\$898,830	2	\$427,324
Michigan	2	\$50,520		
Mississippi	2	\$377,560		
New Mexico	3	\$378,690		
Oklahoma	5	\$832,940	2	\$292,902
Washington	2	\$516,290		
Wisconsin	1	\$117,810		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$85,000
Totals	26	\$8,306,160	9	\$1,893,845

**TABLE 5T. FY 1990**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	1	\$410,720		
Arkansas	1	\$12,250		
California	3	\$1,256,730	1	\$358,124
Colorado	1	\$28,800		
Delaware			1	\$271,608
Florida			1	\$286,012
Hawaii	1	\$117,820		
Massachusetts	3	\$261,110		
Mississippi	3	\$346,920		
North Carolina	1	\$154,500		
Ohio	1	\$568,300		
Oklahoma	1	\$164,000	2	\$144,906
Texas	1	\$191,660		
Washington	3	\$1,141,450		
Wisconsin	4	\$646,590		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$65,000
Totals	24	\$5,300,850	6	\$1,125,650

**TABLE 5U. FY 1991**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	2	\$439,800		
Arkansas	3	\$329,920		
California	5	\$6,536,470	1	\$388,170
Colorado	2	\$216,000		
Delaware			1	\$287,330
Florida	1	\$271,250	1	\$295,671
Hawaii	1	\$427,740		
Maine	1	\$10,000		
Maryland	1	\$191,010		
Massachusetts	3	\$294,080		
Minnesota	1	\$4,210		
Mississippi	4	\$189,700		
New Mexico	2	\$250,750		
Oklahoma	6	\$952,680	1	\$150,328
Texas	1	\$25,000		
Washington	4	\$1,152,700		
Wisconsin	4	\$743,050	1	\$50,000
Totals	41	\$12,034,360	5	\$1,171,499

**TABLE 5V. FY 1992**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	2	\$716,800		
Arkansas	1	\$9,950		
California	3	\$2,041,500	1	\$177,995
Colorado	1	\$298,790		
Delaware			2	\$70,107
Florida	1	\$818,130	2	\$20,029
Hawaii	1	\$163,720		
Maine	3	\$308,680		
Maryland	1	\$10,000		
Michigan	1	\$9,600		
Minnesota	1	\$10,000		
Mississippi	10	\$1,127,320		
Ohio	5	\$445,000		
Oklahoma	2	\$175,750	2	\$60,018
Texas	1	\$112,420		
Virginia	1	\$10,000		
Washington	4	\$1,340,460		
Wisconsin	2	\$177,850		
Totals	40	\$7,775,970	7	\$328,148

**TABLE 5W. FY 1993**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Alabama	2	\$110,800		
Arizona	2	\$320,220		
Arkansas	8	\$1,198,330		
California	8	\$10,015,940	1	\$383,402
Colorado	1	\$27,750		
Delaware			1	\$276,621
Florida			2	\$254,640
Hawaii	2	\$375,070		
Maine	1	\$180,000		
Maryland	3	\$312,880		
Massachusetts	2	\$21,240		
Michigan	1	\$208,500		
Minnesota	1	\$6,250		
Mississippi	4	\$328,780		
New Mexico	1	\$45,400		
North Carolina	1	\$171,000		
Ohio	2	\$161,680		
Oklahoma	4	\$811,790	1	\$178,549
Tennessee	1	\$10,000		
Texas	2	\$224,450		
Virginia	2	\$20,000		
Washington	3	\$1,204,200		
Wisconsin	3	\$1,104,200		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$55,000
Totals	54	\$16,858,480	6	\$1,148,212

**TABLE 5X. FY 1994**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	5	\$1,837,870		
Arkansas	1	\$9,520		
California	1	\$1,352,700	2	\$570,118
Colorado	2	\$369,460		
Delaware			1	\$290,239
Florida	3	\$1,592,680		
Indiana	1	\$10,000		
Maine	2	\$261,780		
Maryland	2	\$779,800		
Massachusetts	3	\$525,060		
Minnesota	1	\$10,000		
Mississippi	4	\$622,460		
New Mexico	1	\$276,000		
North Carolina	1	\$10,000		
Ohio	2	\$185,420		
Oklahoma	5	\$798,780		
Oregon	1	\$10,000		
Pennsylvania	1	\$10,000		
South Dakota	1	\$10,000		
Tennessee	1	\$179,400		
Texas	1	\$232,390		
Washington	7	\$2,820,000		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$80,000
Totals	46	\$11,903,300	4	\$940,357

**TABLE 5Y. FY 1995**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	6	\$923,640		
Arkansas	5	\$937,940		
California	7	\$4,908,920	2	\$617,711
Colorado	4	\$630,630		
Delaware			1	\$307,241
Florida	2	\$212,120	1	\$349,337
Hawaii	4	\$741,000		
Maine	1	\$245,940		
Maryland	1	\$10,000		
Massachusetts	1	\$27,960		
Michigan	3	\$91,100		
Minnesota	1	\$180,790		
Mississippi	6	\$338,920		
Nevada	2	\$267,660		
North Carolina	1	\$177,220		
Ohio	1	\$10,000		
Oklahoma	4	\$716,550	1	\$256,506
Oregon	1	\$281,480		
Pennsylvania	1	\$10,000		
South Dakota	2	\$166,210		
Texas	9	\$957,600		
Washington	4	\$1,095,680		
Totals	66	\$12,931,360	5	\$1,530,795

**TABLE 5Z. FY 1996**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	2	\$1,270,940		
Arkansas	2	\$235,080		
California	6	\$3,736,150	1	\$558,927
Delaware	2	\$100,000	1	\$325,267
Florida	5	\$881,840	1	\$349,337
Georgia	2	\$18,270		
Kentucky	1	\$10,000		
Maryland	3	\$528,580		
Michigan	1	\$195,780		
Minnesota	2	\$70,000		
Mississippi	7	\$591,170		
Montana	2	\$200,000		
Nevada	1	\$110,000		
North Carolina	2	\$95,500		
Ohio	1	\$198,330		
Oklahoma	4	\$450,000	1	\$256,339
Pennsylvania	1	\$142,500		
South Dakota	2	\$101,180		
Texas	2	\$234,360		
Vermont	1	\$10,000		
Washington	5	\$1,635,970		
Wisconsin	2	\$429,500		
Wyoming	1	\$85,170		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$85,000
Totals	57	\$11,330,320	5	\$1,574,870

**TABLE 5AA. FY 1997**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Alaska	2	\$141,050		
Arizona	9	\$1,550,610		
Arkansas	6	\$918,430		
California	15	\$8,933,680	1	\$558,927
Colorado	5	\$789,500		
Delaware	1	\$90,000	1	\$344,368
Florida	10	\$1,601,060	1	\$349,337
Georgia	1	\$191,710		
Hawaii	2	\$260,040		
Idaho	3	\$162,880		
Iowa	1	\$121,500		
Kansas	3	\$339,170		
Louisiana	2	\$20,000		
Maine	1	\$81,980		
Maryland	5	\$750,300		
Massachusetts	3	\$479,790		
Michigan	2	\$144,490		
Minnesota	3	\$196,250		
Mississippi	9	\$1,024,550		
Nevada	1	\$110,000		
New Jersey	1	\$448,820		
New Mexico	1	\$207,000		
North Carolina	4	\$417,650		
Oklahoma	6	\$785,750	1	\$270,990
Oregon	2	\$281,480		
Pennsylvania	3	\$277,500		
South Dakota	5	\$316,710		
Tennessee	2	\$196,930		
Texas	2	\$288,850		
Vermont	1	\$111,050		
Virginia	1	\$10,000	1	\$450,000
Washington	7	\$2,041,790		
West Virginia	5	\$299,350		
Wisconsin	2	\$509,000		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$89,250

Totals	126	\$24,098,870	6	\$2,062,872
--------	-----	--------------	---	-------------

**TABLE 5AB. FY 1998**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	3	\$1,608,310		
Arkansas	6	\$834,510		
California	10	\$8,594,470	1	\$592,000
Colorado	9	\$312,270		
Delaware			1	\$395,658
Florida	5	\$1,746,680	1	\$394,751
Georgia	3	\$83,900		
Hawaii	3	\$945,470		
Idaho	3	\$295,280		
Illinois	1	\$10,000		
Kansas	3	\$119,720		
Kentucky	1	\$149,430		
Maine	1	\$258,200		
Maryland	2	\$1,089,020		
Massachusetts	1	\$65,110		
Michigan	2	\$680,650		
Minnesota	1	\$235,000		
Mississippi	5	\$357,750		
Missouri	3	\$286,720		
Montana	1	\$11,000		
Nebraska	2	\$210,000		
Nevada	2	\$241,000		
New Jersey	1	\$144,270		
New Mexico	1	\$69,000		
North Carolina	3	\$100,640		
Ohio	1	\$208,200		
Oklahoma	6	\$1,061,100	1	\$332,231
Oregon	4	\$322,500		
Pennsylvania	1	\$6,750		
South Carolina	1	\$10,000		
South Dakota	1	\$20,670		
Tennessee	1	\$62,460		
Texas	1	\$235,600		
Utah	2	\$20,000		

Vermont	2	\$61,700		
Virginia	3	\$215,000		
Washington	10	\$3,336,660		
West Virginia	1	\$40,580		
Wisconsin	2	\$819,000		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$99,000
Totals	108	\$24,868,620	5	\$1,813,640

**TABLE 5AC. FY 1999**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	9	\$1,643,090		
Arkansas	4	\$849,490		
California	9	\$10,995,340	2	\$622,529
Colorado	10	\$1,382,610		
Delaware			1	\$417,098
Florida	7	\$1,033,760	1	\$412,335
Hawaii	1	\$10,000		
Idaho	2	\$250,000		
Indiana	1	\$9,830		
Iowa	1	\$10,000		
Kansas	1	\$297,790		
Louisiana	1	\$10,000		
Maryland	1	\$10,000		
Mississippi	16	\$2,049,700		
Missouri	1	\$45,000		
Nebraska	3	\$220,000		
Nevada	1	\$298,200		
New Mexico	2	\$289,800		
North Carolina	3	\$493,000		
North Dakota	2	\$20,000		
Oklahoma	3	\$602,480	1	\$342,365
Oregon	4	\$545,550		
Pennsylvania	2	\$380,640		
South Dakota	4	\$188,800		
Texas	2	\$309,990		
Utah	4	\$458,000		
Virginia			1	\$160,000
Washington	2	\$614,400		
West Virginia	1	\$257,600		
Wyoming	2	\$20,000		
Puerto Rico	3	\$30,000		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$109,000
Totals	102	\$23,325,070	7	\$2,063,327

**TABLE 5AD. FY 2000**

State	Grants <sup>a</sup>		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Alaska	3	\$651,220		
Arizona	3	\$2,156,980		
Arkansas	4	\$514,500		
California	11	\$3,192,060	1	\$1,537,283
Colorado	5	\$981,210		
Delaware	2	\$276,100	1	\$928,856
Florida	6	\$2,619,530	1	\$988,033
Hawaii	3	\$669,870		
Idaho	3	\$266,800		
Indiana	1	\$10,000		
Iowa	1	\$125,000		
Kansas	2	\$340,000		
Maine	1	\$299,500		
Maryland	3	\$1,022,200		
Massachusetts	1	\$10,000		
Michigan	1	\$431,000		
Mississippi	3	\$478,700		
Missouri	2	\$213,500		
Montana	1	\$15,000		
Nebraska	1	\$5,000		
Nevada	1	\$283,800		
New Hampshire	1	\$10,000		
New Mexico	1	\$5,170		
North Carolina	1	\$215,330		
North Dakota	3	\$394,860		
Ohio	2	\$496,470		
Oklahoma	6	\$1,632,810	1	\$836,133
Oregon	1	\$10,000		
South Carolina	1	\$10,000		
South Dakota	3	\$456,170		
Texas	3	\$526,860		
Utah	3	\$611,820		
Virginia	1	\$75,000		
Washington	8	\$4,616,340		
Wisconsin	3	\$903,040		

Wyoming	1	\$129,150		
Puerto Rico	2	\$494,340		
Washington, D.C.			2	\$344,000
Totals	98	\$25,149,330	6	\$4,634,305

a. Includes seven advance planning and packaging grants.

**TABLE 5AE. FY 2001**

State	Grants <sup>a</sup>		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	7	\$2,903,650		
Arkansas	1	\$481,500		
California	2	\$3,313,500		
Colorado	8	\$1,966,350		
Florida	3	\$502,610		
Georgia	1	\$10,000		
Hawaii	2	\$978,070		
Idaho	2	\$721,820		
Kansas	3	\$30,000		
Maine	3	\$373,900		
Michigan	3	\$594,050		
Mississippi	4	\$324,000		
Missouri	2	\$230,000		
Montana	1	\$330,340		
New Mexico	1	\$10,000		
North Carolina	2	\$531,360		
Oklahoma	7	\$877,550		
Oregon	2	\$580,330		
Pennsylvania	1	\$164,340		
South Dakota	1	\$10,000		
Tennessee	3	\$335,000		
Texas	2	\$322,430		
Utah	5	\$1,174,310		
Virginia	1	\$271,890		
Washington	1	\$434,000		
Wisconsin	2	\$157,000		
Totals	70	\$17,628,000	0	\$0

a. Includes 14 advance planning and packaging grants.

**TABLE 5AF. FY 2002**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Arizona	3	\$540,000		
Arkansas	5	\$343,630		
California	4	\$6,468,000	1	\$1,106,405
Colorado	2	\$379,980		
Delaware	0		1	\$544,643
Florida	6	\$3,142,230	1	\$603,968
Hawaii	2	\$190,000		
Idaho	3	\$312,420		
Indiana	1	\$204,640		
Kansas	3	\$521,100		
Louisiana	2	\$20,000		
Maine	1	\$556,200		
Maryland	3	\$2,527,740		
Michigan	2	\$175,540		
Mississippi	3	\$94,030		
Montana	2	\$406,000		
Nevada	2	\$531,300		
New Mexico	2	\$369,500		
New York	1	\$10,000		
North Dakota	1	\$65,450		
Oklahoma	4	\$1,055,040	1	\$531,220
Oregon	3	\$331,610		
Pennsylvania	2	\$209,080		
South Carolina	1	\$250,000		
South Dakota	2	\$443,100		
Texas	3	\$555,080		
Utah	2	\$555,680		
Virginia	1	\$10,000		
Washington	7	\$2,931,420		
West Virginia	1	\$331,200		
Wyoming	2	\$20,000		
Washington, D.C.			1	\$146,900
Totals	76	\$23,549,970	5	\$2,933,136

**TABLE 5AG. FY 2003**

State	Grants		Contracts	
	Number	Dollars	Number	Dollars
Alaska	1	\$85,540		
Arizona	8	\$4,677,100		
Arkansas	4	\$843,700		
California	9	\$10,272,000	1	\$2,006,040
Colorado	13	\$2,748,190		
Delaware	1	\$124,500	1	\$715,084
Florida	1	\$870,000	1	\$742,043
Hawaii	3	\$928,820		
Idaho	3	\$547,660		
Iowa	1	\$10,000		
Kansas	2	\$474,000		
Louisiana	1	\$240,000		
Mississippi	5	\$711,780		
Missouri	1	\$10,000		
Montana	4	\$1,317,200		
Nevada	1	\$674,820		
New York	1	\$10,000		
North Carolina	4	\$570,820		
North Dakota	1	\$293,000		
Ohio	3	\$30,000		
Oklahoma	7	\$1,509,220	1	\$738,032
Oregon	3	\$447,470		
Pennsylvania	1	\$190,980		
Puerto Rico	1	\$10,000		
South Dakota	2	\$194,600		
Texas	6	\$930,270		
Utah	9	\$2,960,030		
Washington	6	\$3,088,530		

Wisconsin	3	\$939,240		
Wyoming	3	\$20,000		
Washington, D.C.	0	\$0	1	\$147,900
Total	108	\$35,729,470	5	\$4,439,099

**TABLE 6. SECTION 523 SELF-HELP TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS,\*  
BY STATE, FY 1971-2003**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Alabama	20	\$1,906,270
Alaska	6	\$877,810
Arizona	93	\$26,633,090
Arkansas	76	\$10,745,840
California	153	\$118,349,650
Colorado	96	\$14,362,780
Connecticut	8	\$1,182,840
Delaware	8	\$701,100
Florida	88	\$21,906,440
Georgia	8	\$560,980
Hawaii	26	\$6,072,080
Idaho	26	\$3,129,180
Illinois	2	\$130,900
Indiana	5	\$384,470
Iowa	4	\$266,500
Kansas	17	\$2,121,780
Kentucky	2	\$159,430
Louisiana	7	\$439,650
Maine	15	\$2,576,180
Maryland	39	\$8,342,311
Massachusetts	42	\$5,959,610
Michigan	32	\$4,380,380
Minnesota	20	\$1,940,920
Mississippi	117	\$12,232,180
Missouri	17	\$1,336,390
Montana	11	\$2,279,540
Nebraska	6	\$435,000
Nevada	11	\$2,516,780
New Hampshire	4	\$345,000
New Jersey	2	\$593,090
New Mexico	26	\$3,775,860
New York	4	\$192,780
North Carolina	37	\$4,650,580
North Dakota	15	\$1,407,410

<b>State</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Dollars</b>
Ohio	26	\$3,670,040
Oklahoma	118	\$17,870,540
Oregon	29	\$4,232,050
Pennsylvania	15	\$1,666,190
Puerto Rico	11	\$1,223,030
Rhode Island	1	\$139,460
South Carolina	4	\$355,200
South Dakota	45	\$4,588,740
Tennessee	10	\$1,183,000
Texas	43	\$5,798,800
Utah	27	\$5,989,840
Vermont	6	\$397,050
U.S. Virgin Islands	1	\$172,070
Virginia	11	\$711,520
Washington	113	\$36,330,710
West Pacific Terr.	0	\$0
West Virginia	9	\$1,068,730
Wisconsin	91	\$15,022,930
Wyoming	9	\$274,320
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,612</b>	<b>\$363,589,021</b>

\*Does not include contracts, which are regional in nature.

While affordable housing programs using homebuyers' labor contributions to reduce the costs of their homes became very popular in the 1990s, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) has been funding mutual self-help housing since the early 1960s. This report provides a historical overview of the USDA program, including a brief narrative summary and detailed tables, updated through Fiscal Year 2003.

ISBN 1-58064-014-1